# Nearly-tight sample complexity bounds for learning mixtures of Gaussians



Hassan Ashtiani (McMaster)

Shai Ben-David (Waterloo)



Nick Harvey (UBC)



Abbas Mehrabian (McGill)



Yaniv Plan (UBC)

Chris Liaw (UBC) NeurIPS, December 2018

### **Density estimation**



### Density estimation



# Fundamental & well-studied problem with many applications!

[Feldman et al. '06; Suresh et al. '14; Ashtiani et al. '17; Diakonikolas et al. '14-'18, etc.]

**Q**  $[D'_{16}]$ : "For a distribution class  $\mathcal{F}$ , is there a complexity measure that characterizes the sample complexity of  $\mathcal{F}$ ?"

### Learning Gaussians



Single Gaussian in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .  $O\left(\frac{d^2}{\epsilon^2}\right)$  samples are sufficient to recover Gaussian up to  $L_1$ -error  $\epsilon$ .

### Learning Gaussians



Single Gaussian in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .  $O\left(\frac{d^2}{\epsilon^2}\right)$  samples are sufficient to recover Gaussian up to  $L_1$ -error  $\epsilon$ .

Mixture of *k* Gaussians in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Q: Are  $O\left(\frac{kd^2}{\epsilon^2}\right)$  samples sufficient? Know that  $\tilde{O}\left(\frac{kd^2}{\epsilon^4}\right)$  are sufficient. [Ashtiani et al. '17]

Note: We aim to recover density, not parameters of the mixture.

# Main Contribution

- *"Other things being equal, simpler explanations are generally better..."* [William of Ockham]
- One manifestation of this in learning theory is "sample compression". [e.g. Littlestone, Warmuth '86; Moran, Yehudayoff '16]

# Main Contribution

- *"Other things being equal, simpler explanations are generally better..."* [William of Ockham]
- One manifestation of this in learning theory is "sample compression". [e.g. Littlestone, Warmuth '86; Moran, Yehudayoff '16]

We introduce a **simple & sample-efficient** technique for density estimation via **compression schemes**.

# Main Contribution

- *"Other things being equal, simpler explanations are generally better..."* [William of Ockham]
- One manifestation of this in learning theory is "sample compression". [e.g. Littlestone, Warmuth '86; Moran, Yehudayoff '16]

# We introduce a **simple & sample-efficient** technique for density estimation via **compression schemes**.

- Application:  $\tilde{O}(kd^2/\epsilon^2)$  samples suffice to learn mixtures of k Gaussians in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- We also show nearly-matching lower bound of  $\tilde{\Omega}(kd^2/\epsilon^2)$ .

\*Note:  $\tilde{O}$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  hide polylog( $kd/\epsilon$ ) factors.

### Compressing Gaussians in $\mathbb{R}$



### Compressing Gaussians in R



### Compressing Gaussians in R



**Two samples** are **sufficient** to **encode**  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

### **Compression Framework**

 $\mathcal{F}$ : a class of distributions (e.g. Gaussians)



### **Compression Framework**

*F*: a class of distributions (e.g. Gaussians)

i.i.d. samples from  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{F}$ 

Knows  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$ 





### **Compression Framework**

 $\mathcal{F}$ : a class of distributions (e.g. Gaussians)



If Alice sends t points and Bob approximates  $\mathcal{D}$  then we say  $\mathcal{F}$  has compression of size t.

### **Compression Theorem**

**Theorem [ABHLMP '18]** If  $\mathcal{F}$  has a compression scheme of size t then sample complexity to learn  $\mathcal{F}$  (up to  $L_1$ -error  $\epsilon$ ) is

$$\widetilde{O}\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}\right)$$
.  $\widetilde{O}(\cdot)$  hides polylog factors

# **Small compression schemes** imply **sample-efficient** algorithms.

### Compression Theorem

**Theorem [ABHLMP '18]** If  $\mathcal{F}$  has a compression scheme of size t then sample complexity to learn  $\mathcal{F}$  (up to  $L_1$ -error  $\epsilon$ ) is

$$\widetilde{O}\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}\right)$$
.  $\widetilde{O}(\cdot)$  hides polylog factors

# **Small compression schemes** imply **sample-efficient** algorithms.

#### Proof idea.

- Compression is used to find small set of "representative" distributions.
- Now, we can learn with respect to a finite class.

 $\mathcal{N}(\mu_3, \sigma_3^2)$ Cheat: assume a uniform mixture.  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ 







# If $\mathcal{F}$ has a compression of size t then k mixtures of $\mathcal{F}$ have a compression of size $\approx kt$ .

### Compression Theorem for Mixtures

**Theorem [ABHLMP '18]** If  $\mathcal{F}$  has a compression scheme of size t then sample complexity to learn k mixtures of  $\mathcal{F}$  (up to  $L_1$ -error  $\epsilon$ ) is

$$\widetilde{O}\left(\frac{kt}{\epsilon^2}\right)$$
.  $\widetilde{O}(\cdot)$  hides polylog factors

Small compression schemes imply sample-efficient algorithms for **mixtures**.

### Compression Theorem for Mixtures

**Theorem [ABHLMP '18]** If  $\mathcal{F}$  has a compression scheme of size t then sample complexity to learn k mixtures of  $\mathcal{F}$  (up to  $L_1$ -error  $\epsilon$ ) is

$$\widetilde{O}\left(\frac{\kappa \iota}{\epsilon^2}\right)$$
.  $\widetilde{O}(\cdot)$  hides polylog factors

# Small compression schemes imply sample-efficient algorithms for **mixtures**.

**Q**: Does an analogous statement hold for other notions of complexity (e.g. VC-dimension)?

Encoding center and axes of ellipsoid is sufficient to recover  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ .



Encoding center and axes of ellipsoid is sufficient to recover  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ .



Points drawn from  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ .

Encoding center and axes of ellipsoid is sufficient to recover  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ .



Ellipsoid defined by  $\mu$ ,  $\Sigma$ . Points drawn from  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ .

Encoding center and axes of ellipsoid is sufficient to recover  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ .



Ellipsoid defined by  $\mu$ ,  $\Sigma$ . Points drawn from  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ .

Encoding center and axes of ellipsoid is sufficient to recover  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ .

In general,  $\tilde{O}(d^2)$  compression is possible for Gaussians in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .



**Theorem [ABHLMP '18]** Sample complexity for learning mixtures of k Gaussians in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  up to  $L_1$ -error  $\epsilon$  is

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{0}}\left(\frac{kd^2}{\epsilon^2}\right)$$
  $\widetilde{\mathbf{0}}(\cdot)$  hides polylog factors

- Improves upon:
  - $\tilde{O}(k^4 d^4/\epsilon^2)$  via a VC-dimension argument
  - $\tilde{O}(kd^2/\epsilon^4)$  [Ashtiani, Ben-David, Mehrabian '17]
- This is nearly-tight! We show  $\tilde{\Omega}(kd^2/\epsilon^2)$  samples are necessary.
  - Improves on previous bound of  $\tilde{\Omega}(kd/\epsilon^2)$  [Suresh et al. NeurIPS '14]
- Compression ideas can be extended to agnostic learning as well.

### Summary

- We introduced a compression framework for density estimation.
  - Application: improved upper bounds for learning mixtures of Gaussians.
  - **Q**: Other applications of compression?
  - **Q**: Can we get a more computationally-efficient algorithm?
- We also show a nearly-matching lower bound for learning mixtures of Gaussians.

### Summary

- We introduced a compression framework for density estimation.
  - **Application:** improved upper bounds for learning mixtures of Gaussians.
  - **Q**: Other applications of compression?
  - **Q**: Can we get a more computationally-efficient algorithm?
- We also show a nearly-matching lower bound for learning mixtures of Gaussians.